EXHIBIT 93

```
Page 2508
 1
 2
     JAMS
 3
     GEMINI TRUST CO. and
     WINKLEVOSS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,
 4
     LLC,
                       Claimants, Reference No.
                                     1425025351
 5
 6
     v.
     BENJAMIN SMALL, et al.,
 7
                       Respondents.
 8
     BENJAMIN SMALL,
              Counterclaim-Claimant,
 9
     v.
     WINKLEVOSS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC.
10
              Counterclaim-Respondent.
     ----x
11
                      September 30, 2021
12
                      9:00 a.m.
13
                    * * * VOLUME VII * * *
14
15
          CONTINUED ARBITRATION PROCEEDINGS, held at
16
     JAMS, 620 Eighth Avenue, New York, New York, before
     Judith Castore, a Certified Livenote Reporter and
17
     Notary Public of the State of New York.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



Page 2617	Page 2619
1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS
2 Associates, and Smart Contract,	2 the testimony you gave about clawing
3 correct?	3 back the money?
4 And then Exhibit 50 is a	4 A So Mr. Kobayashi was, I
5 letter from Mr. David and Mr. Ruthizer	5 believe, a beneficial owner of Cardano,
6 at Hashtech.	6 Top Associates, and Smart Contract.
7 Do you see that?	7 Mr. David and Mr. Ruthizer
8 A I see those letters.	8 were the beneficial owners of Hashtech.
9 Q Okay. And these were letters	9 Q Okay. And we're going to
10 later in the process in December 2017,	10 come to this, but are all of these
11 correct?	11 people or entities Cardano,
12 A That's correct.	12 Hashtech, Kobayashi, David, Ruthizer,
13 Q Okay. And just the reason	13 Top Associates, Smart were they
14 I'm showing it, I just want to get the	14 originally people you sued?
15 context.	15 A I don't know if all of the
16 If you look at Exhibit 68, at	16 entities or individuals were named.
17 the bottom of the page, there is a	17 It's certainly possible. I know that
18 sentence that says: The total value of	18 Cardano and Hashtech would certainly
19 Gemini rebates paid out to the Cardano	19 have been named.
20 and Hashtech accounts, as well as	20 Q Okay. So the total amount
21 related accounts, was 388.13183179054	21 lost as of the using the conversion
22 Bitcoin and \$1,731,608.54 USD, which	22 factor or exchange rate as of the end
23 totals 7,452,671.74 in notional USD	23 of 2017, was about \$7.4 million,
24 value.	24 correct?
25 Did I read that correctly?	25 A That's correct.
Page 2618	Page 2620
1 DIDECT EVANDUATION C NUMBER EVOCO	
1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS
2 A That's correct.	 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS Q How significant or how
2 A That's correct.3 Q And then there is a footnote	 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS Q How significant or how substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to
 2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017?
 2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous.
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct?	 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS Q How significant or how substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to Gemini in 2017? A Enormous. Q Was it greater than your
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss?
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you?
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28,	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct?	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since 12 inception. And Gemini, for the
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.)	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since 12 inception. And Gemini, for the 13 first I think until about
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.) 14 A That's correct.	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since 12 inception. And Gemini, for the 13 first I think until about 14 March 2017, had never turned a profit,
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.) 14 A That's correct. 15 Q And then there is a table	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since 12 inception. And Gemini, for the 13 first I think until about 14 March 2017, had never turned a profit, 15 meaning that our expenses were greater
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.) 14 A That's correct. 15 Q And then there is a table 16 here which shows a series of accounts.	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since 12 inception. And Gemini, for the 13 first I think until about 14 March 2017, had never turned a profit, 15 meaning that our expenses were greater 16 than the money we were taking in on
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.) 14 A That's correct. 15 Q And then there is a table 16 here which shows a series of accounts. 17 And do you see it says Account,	DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS Q How significant or how substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to Gemini in 2017? A Enormous. Q Was it greater than your respected profits for the year? Did you have a loss? What did that do to you? A That would have wiped out any profits we would have made since inception. And Gemini, for the first I think until about March 2017, had never turned a profit, meaning that our expenses were greater than the money we were taking in on fees per month.
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.) 14 A That's correct. 15 Q And then there is a table 16 here which shows a series of accounts. 17 And do you see it says Account, 18 Cardano I'm now pointing to page 2	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since 12 inception. And Gemini, for the 13 first I think until about 14 March 2017, had never turned a profit, 15 meaning that our expenses were greater 16 than the money we were taking in on 17 fees per month. 18 So we started building Gemini
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.) 14 A That's correct. 15 Q And then there is a table 16 here which shows a series of accounts. 17 And do you see it says Account, 18 Cardano I'm now pointing to page 2 19 of Exhibit 68.	DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS Q How significant or how substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to Gemini in 2017? A Enormous. Q Was it greater than your respected profits for the year? Did you have a loss? What did that do to you? A That would have wiped out any profits we would have made since inception. And Gemini, for the first I think until about March 2017, had never turned a profit, meaning that our expenses were greater than the money we were taking in on fees per month. So we started building Gemini in 2014. So it took us about from
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.) 14 A That's correct. 15 Q And then there is a table 16 here which shows a series of accounts. 17 And do you see it says Account, 18 Cardano I'm now pointing to page 2 19 of Exhibit 68. 20 Cardano, Hashtech, Satoshi	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since 12 inception. And Gemini, for the 13 first I think until about 14 March 2017, had never turned a profit, 15 meaning that our expenses were greater 16 than the money we were taking in on 17 fees per month. 18 So we started building Gemini 19 in 2014. So it took us about from 20 obviously, a year and a half to build
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.) 14 A That's correct. 15 Q And then there is a table 16 here which shows a series of accounts. 17 And do you see it says Account, 18 Cardano I'm now pointing to page 2 19 of Exhibit 68. 20 Cardano, Hashtech, Satoshi 21 Kobayashi, Jonathan David, Alex	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since 12 inception. And Gemini, for the 13 first I think until about 14 March 2017, had never turned a profit, 15 meaning that our expenses were greater 16 than the money we were taking in on 17 fees per month. 18 So we started building Gemini 19 in 2014. So it took us about from 20 obviously, a year and a half to build 21 the software, get our license, and then
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.) 14 A That's correct. 15 Q And then there is a table 16 here which shows a series of accounts. 17 And do you see it says Account, 18 Cardano I'm now pointing to page 2 19 of Exhibit 68. 20 Cardano, Hashtech, Satoshi 21 Kobayashi, Jonathan David, Alex 22 Ruthizer, Top Associates, and Smart	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since 12 inception. And Gemini, for the 13 first I think until about 14 March 2017, had never turned a profit, 15 meaning that our expenses were greater 16 than the money we were taking in on 17 fees per month. 18 So we started building Gemini 19 in 2014. So it took us about from 20 obviously, a year and a half to build 21 the software, get our license, and then 22 operate for a year and a half.
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.) 14 A That's correct. 15 Q And then there is a table 16 here which shows a series of accounts. 17 And do you see it says Account, 18 Cardano I'm now pointing to page 2 19 of Exhibit 68. 20 Cardano, Hashtech, Satoshi 21 Kobayashi, Jonathan David, Alex 22 Ruthizer, Top Associates, and Smart 23 Contract.	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since 12 inception. And Gemini, for the 13 first I think until about 14 March 2017, had never turned a profit, 15 meaning that our expenses were greater 16 than the money we were taking in on 17 fees per month. 18 So we started building Gemini 19 in 2014. So it took us about from 20 obviously, a year and a half to build 21 the software, get our license, and then 22 operate for a year and a half. 23 And during those three years,
2 A That's correct. 3 Q And then there is a footnote 4 explaining the value of Bitcoin in 5 dollars is actually appreciated, 6 correct? 7 I guess it doesn't say 8 appreciated. It just gives the 9 conversion as of the date of the 10 letter, which would be December 28, 11 correct? 12 (Clarification by the 13 reporter.) 14 A That's correct. 15 Q And then there is a table 16 here which shows a series of accounts. 17 And do you see it says Account, 18 Cardano I'm now pointing to page 2 19 of Exhibit 68. 20 Cardano, Hashtech, Satoshi 21 Kobayashi, Jonathan David, Alex 22 Ruthizer, Top Associates, and Smart	1 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS 2 Q How significant or how 3 substantial was a \$7.4 million loss to 4 Gemini in 2017? 5 A Enormous. 6 Q Was it greater than your 7 expected profits for the year? Did you 8 have a loss? 9 What did that do to you? 10 A That would have wiped out any 11 profits we would have made since 12 inception. And Gemini, for the 13 first I think until about 14 March 2017, had never turned a profit, 15 meaning that our expenses were greater 16 than the money we were taking in on 17 fees per month. 18 So we started building Gemini 19 in 2014. So it took us about from 20 obviously, a year and a half to build 21 the software, get our license, and then 22 operate for a year and a half.

29 (Pages 2617 - 2620)

			5 - 201
1	Page 2621 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS	1	Page 2623 DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS
	nvestors, were carrying the entire	2	Q Is it correct,
	payroll and all the expenses of the	_	Mr. Winklevoss, that towards end of
_	ompany.		September, beginning of October,
5	We were literally carrying		because of Ms. Toomey you started to
1	he weight of the world on our		understand where the losses were, where
	houlders for the better part of three		the money had gone, and how much; is
1	rears. And it's an incredible amount		that fair?
	of pressure, a huge burn, and we took	9	A End of September, beginning
1	hat on. We believed in the company.	10	of October.
11	But this was a massive blow.	11	Though, I believe,
12	Q What is the relationship	12	directionally, we were hot on the trail
13 b	between the discovery in August that		in the first week of September.
1	ou've lost 113 Bitcoin in July and	14	Q So did you then initiate
15 p	otentially that much again in August	15	contact with Cardano and Hashtech at
16 to	o your level of anxiety and agitation?	16	this time?
17	A It quite high and quite	17	A I believe we did.
18 d	evastating.	18	Q So let's must put up
19	MR. BAUGHMAN: You need a	19	MR. BAUGHMAN: And, Jesse,
20	moment, Your Honor?	20	maybe you could put them side by
21	ARBITRATOR SEGALL: What's	21	side, WX 173 and 185.
22	the exhibit number of this?	22	Q Here we have on 173, there
23	MR. BAUGHMAN: This one is	23	is e-mails between Sarah Olsen and Hiro
24	68.		Kakiya and Satoshi Kobayashi.
25	ARBITRATOR SEGALL: I want to	25	Do you see that at the top?
	Page 2622		Page 2624
1	DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS	1	DIRECT EXAMINATION - C. WINKLEVOSS
2	see the end of the letter, please.	2	And they're setting up a
3	MR. BAUGHMAN: Why don't I		meeting with people from the Kobayashi
4	take go through the sequence,		Cardano group, correct?
5	Your Honor? It might help.	5	A Correct.
6	ARBITRATOR SEGALL: I will	6	Q Did you, in fact, go meet
7	tell you maybe you could		with them?
8	formulate the question.	8	A I don't recall a meeting with
9	MR. BAUGHMAN: I want it to		Mr. Kobayashi or any of the principals at that time.
10	be clear, what happened.		
11	ARBITRATOR SEGALL: I'm a	11	Q Okay. But you were reaching out to them?
12	little baffled by the timing here, because the demand for arbitration	12	A I believe we reached out and
13	was, I think, December 10.	_	tried to get a face-to-face meeting.
15	MR. BAUGHMAN: I can explain	15	Q Well, let me see if I can
16	it.		refresh your recollection.
17	ARBITRATOR SEGALL: Could you	17	Here's an e-mail in
18	please ask some questions to		Exhibit 185 that says from Sarah Olsen
19	explain that? Because it seems		to you, Tyler Winklevoss, Michael Breu,
20	out of sequence to me.		Caitlin Barnett.
21	MR. BAUGHMAN: I understand,	21	And it says: Team, please
22	and I was going to I jumped		find notes from our call this morning
23	ahead in the story, but that's		with Satoshi Kobayashi and Hiro Kakiya.
1	okay. So if you will allow me to	24	Do you see that?
L Z4			
24 25	just set the stage.	25	A I do.

30 (Pages 2621 - 2624)